

Magnetic properties of quantum Heisenberg ferromagnets with long-range interactions

H. Nakano and M. Takahashi

Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo

Roppongi, Tokyo 106, JAPAN

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

Quantum Heisenberg ferromagnets with long-range interactions in low dimensions are investigated by means of the Green's function method. The model Hamiltonian is given by $\mathcal{H} = -(J_0/2) \sum_{i \neq j} r_{ij}^{-p} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j - H \sum_j S_j^z$. It is shown that there exists a finite-temperature phase transition in the region $d < p < 2d$ for the d -dimensional case and that no transitions at any finite temperature exist for $p \geq 2d$; the critical temperature is also estimated. We study the magnetic properties of this model. We calculate the critical exponents' dependence on p ; these exponents also satisfy a scaling relation. Some of the results were also found using the modified spin-wave theory and are in remarkable agreement with each other.

PACS numbers : 75.10.Jm, 05.70.-a, 75.40.Cx

I. INTRODUCTION

Models with long-range interactions (LRI's) have attracted much attention since the exact solution of the $S=1/2$ Heisenberg model with interactions proportional to the inverse squared distance between sites (HS model) was found independently by Haldane [1] and Shastry [2]. In the case of models with interactions decaying as r^{-2} we have made use of the mathematically simple structure of those models to obtain many exact results. We cannot, however, apply the same method used for the r^{-2} case to the case when the interactions have the general form r^{-p} , because the mathematical structure of this general case is more complicated. Among ferromagnetic models with r^{-p} interactions, the Ising model, classical Heisenberg model, n -vector model, and spherical model have already been investigated. Regarding the Ising chain [3–5], the existence of a finite-temperature phase transition (FTPT) when $1 < p \leq 2$ and its absence when $p > 2$ are known. The region where there exists a FTPT in the one- and two-dimensional case of the classical Heisenberg model [6] and the spherical model [7] is known to be $d < p < 2d$, where d denotes the lattice dimensionality which is taken to be 1 or 2. The thermodynamic properties of the d -dimensional spherical model were discussed [7]. Critical exponents of the n -vector model were obtained by means of the renormalization group approach [8,9]. A Monte Carlo simulation of the classical Heisenberg model was recently reported [10]. However, less is known about quantum Heisenberg ferromagnets with LRI's. The absence of a FTPT in the region $p \geq 2d$ [11] and upper bounds for the correlation functions [12] are known. In this quantum case, however, little is known about the thermodynamic properties and critical phenomena.

Recently, we have reported the low-temperature properties of the one- and two-dimensional quantum Heisenberg ferromagnets with LRI's decaying as r^{-p} , using the modified spin-wave (MSW) theory [13,14] and the Schwinger-boson mean-field theory [15]. In those papers, the critical temperature of the FTPT, the temperature-dependence of the susceptibility and specific heat were obtained. In particular, from both of these two approximate methods, results in the one-dimensional case for $p = 2$ are in good agreement with the

exact solution of the HS model. We cannot, however, obtain the properties of the ordered phase of this model. The reason is that these methods are approximations approaching from the disordered phase to the critical point.

In this paper we will apply the Green's function method (GFM) with Tyablikov's decoupling [16] to the above model in an external magnetic field. Its Hamiltonian is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_j \sum_\rho J(\rho) \mathbf{S}_j \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j+\rho} - H \sum_j S_j^z. \quad (1.1)$$

Here, the strength of the coupling is defined by

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{J(\rho)}{J_0} = \begin{cases} 0 & (\rho = 0) \\ |\rho|^{-p} & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where N is the number of sites and J_0 means the nearest-neighbor interaction, which is positive. The condition $p > d$ is necessary for sensible thermodynamics. Spin operators are taken to have the usual properties: $\mathbf{S}_j \cdot \mathbf{S}_j = S(S+1)$, $[S_j^x, S_k^y] = iS_j^z \delta_{jk}$, and so on. This approximation was first used by Tyablikov [16] in investigation of quantum spin systems with the usual short-range interaction and has subsequently been developed by many other researchers [17]. In those days, however, the three-dimensional case was of primary interest and it was only a few years ago that this approximation was applied to low-dimensional systems [18]. In three dimensions, many good results can be obtained with this approximation. Particularly, the critical temperature estimated from this method agrees well with other estimations. In the low-dimensional case, on the other hand, the critical temperature is found from the GFM to vanish. Although this result $T_c = 0$ in low dimensions does not break the Mermin-Wagner theorem [19], it was considered that we could not obtain reliable information in the low-dimensional cases using this method which is valid at temperatures under T_c . Considering in this paper that the low-dimensional result of $T_c = 0$ and the finite value of T_c in three dimensions are good, we will estimate the critical temperature of the low-dimensional model (1.1) with FTPT. Using the GFM as an

approximation approaching from the ordered phase to the critical temperature, in addition, we will also discuss magnetic properties of this model.

This paper is organized as follows. First, a formulation of the Green's function method is explained. Then, the equations which the magnetization should satisfy are derived. Using these equations, the critical temperature is estimated. The critical behavior of the magnetization and susceptibility are also obtained. Results are compared with previous results.

II. METHOD

Let us consider the retarded double-time Green's function (GF) of operators $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$, which is defined by

$$\langle\langle \hat{A}(t); \hat{B}(t') \rangle\rangle \equiv -i\theta(t-t')\langle[\hat{A}(t), \hat{B}(t')]\rangle, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\theta(t-t')$ denotes the step function. Here, $\langle\hat{\mathcal{O}}\rangle \equiv \text{Tr}[\hat{\mathcal{O}} \exp(-\beta\mathcal{H})]/\text{Tr}[\exp(-\beta\mathcal{H})]$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(t) \equiv e^{i\mathcal{H}t}\hat{\mathcal{O}}e^{-i\mathcal{H}t}$, where β denotes the inverse of the temperature T . Differentiating this GF with respect to time t , we find $i\frac{d}{dt}\langle\langle \hat{A}(t-t'); \hat{B} \rangle\rangle$ to be

$$\delta(t-t')\langle[\hat{A}(t), \hat{B}(t')]\rangle + \langle\langle[\hat{A}(t-t'), \mathcal{H}]; \hat{B}\rangle\rangle, \quad (2.2)$$

where we should note that $\langle\langle \hat{A}(t); \hat{B}(t') \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle \hat{A}(t-t'); \hat{B} \rangle\rangle$ and δ denotes the delta function. Using an approximation, we can find the GF of interest from its time derivative as shown above. We note here that the relation between the spectral intensity of the correlation function $\langle\hat{B}(t')\hat{A}(t)\rangle$ and the Fourier transformation of the GF with respect to time is known as the spectral theorem and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} [G_{AB}(E+i\Delta) - G_{AB}(E-i\Delta)] \\ = (e^{\beta E} - 1)I(E)/i, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where the Fourier transformation $G_{AB}(E)$ is defined by $\langle\langle \hat{A}(t-t'); \hat{B} \rangle\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_{AB}(E) \exp[-iE(t-t')]dE$ and the spectral intensity $I(\omega)$ is defined by $\langle\hat{B}(t')\hat{A}(t)\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I(\omega) \exp[-i\omega(t-t')]d\omega$.

Here, in order to apply the above procedure to the Hamiltonian (1.1), let us consider the GF $\langle\langle S_l^+(t); S_m^- \rangle\rangle$. The first term in (2.2) is then given by $2\langle S_l^z \rangle \delta(t) \delta_{lm}$ and the second term is calculated to be

$$H \langle\langle S_l^+(t); S_m^- \rangle\rangle + \sum_{\rho} J(\rho) [\langle\langle S_l^+(t) S_{l+\rho}^z(t); S_m^- \rangle\rangle - \langle\langle S_l^z(t) S_{l+\rho}^+(t); S_m^- \rangle\rangle]. \quad (2.4)$$

Now, in order to find the GF from its derivative, we introduce Tyablikov's decoupling [16]; then we approximate $\langle\langle S_l^z(t) S_{l+\rho}^+(t); S_m^- \rangle\rangle$ and $\langle\langle S_l^+(t) S_{l+\rho}^z(t); S_m^- \rangle\rangle$ by $\langle S_l^z \rangle \langle\langle S_{l+\rho}^+(t); S_m^- \rangle\rangle$ and $\langle S_{l+\rho}^z \rangle \langle\langle S_l^+(t); S_m^- \rangle\rangle$, respectively. We can consider that this approximation is valid while $\langle S_l^z \rangle$ has a nonzero value. Because the value of magnetization $\langle S_l^z \rangle$ is considered to be independent of its site l , we can set $\langle S_l^z \rangle = M$ for any site l . Thus, we obtain for the equation of motion of the GF

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \langle\langle S_l^+(t); S_m^- \rangle\rangle = 2M \delta(t) \delta_{lm} + H \langle\langle S_l^+(t); S_m^- \rangle\rangle + M \sum_{\rho} J(\rho) [\langle\langle S_l^+(t); S_m^- \rangle\rangle - \langle\langle S_{l+\rho}^+(t); S_m^- \rangle\rangle]. \quad (2.5)$$

We now use the Fourier transformation, defined by $\langle\langle S_l^+(t); S_m^- \rangle\rangle = \int d\omega \frac{1}{N} \sum_k e^{ik(l-m)-i\omega t} G_k(\omega)$, in order to solve Eq. (2.5), giving $G_k(\omega) = M/[\pi(\omega - H - \varepsilon(k))]$, $\varepsilon(k) = J_0 M [\gamma_p(0) - \gamma_p(k)]$, and $\gamma_p(k) \equiv \sum_{\rho} e^{ik \cdot \rho} J(\rho) / J_0$. From the spectral theorem and the solution of the GF, we find the correlation function $\langle S_m^- S_l^+(t) \rangle$ to be $\frac{2M}{N} \sum_k e^{ik(l-m)-i[H+\varepsilon(k)]t} / \{e^{\beta[H+\varepsilon(k)]} - 1\}$. When $l = m$ and $t = 0$, we have

$$S(S+1) - \langle (S_l^z)^2 \rangle = \frac{M}{N} \sum_k \coth \left(\frac{\beta[H + \varepsilon(k)]}{2} \right). \quad (2.6)$$

In order to discuss the case of general S , it is necessary to consider the Green's functions $\langle\langle S_l^+(t); (S_m^z)^n S_m^- \rangle\rangle$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2S-1$. From $\langle\langle S_l^+(t); S_m^z S_m^- \rangle\rangle$, we follow the same procedure giving

$$\frac{2\langle S_l^z S_l^- S_l^+ \rangle}{3\langle (S_l^z)^2 \rangle - M - S(S+1)} + 1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \coth \left(\frac{\beta[H + \varepsilon(k)]}{2} \right). \quad (2.7)$$

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First of all, we estimate the critical temperature (T_c) of spontaneous magnetization (i.e. $H = 0$), which we can obtain from Eqs. (2.6) and (2.7). We take the limit $M \rightarrow 0$ in Eq. (2.6) to have

$$[S(S+1) - \langle (S_l^z)^2 \rangle] J_0 / T_c = 2I_p(0), \quad (3.1)$$

where $I_p(a)$ is defined by $\frac{1}{N} \sum_k [a + \gamma_p(0) - \gamma_p(k)]^{-1}$. In the same way, we find that $\langle (S_l^z)^2 \rangle = S(S+1)/3$ from Eq. (2.7). Hence, we obtain

$$\frac{J_0}{T_c} = \frac{3I_p(0)}{S(S+1)}. \quad (3.2)$$

In the thermodynamic limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, we can replace the summation $(1/N) \sum_k$ by the integral $\int_{1\text{BZ}} d^d k / (2\pi)^d$, where 1BZ denotes the first Brillouin zone. According to Ref.14, we can calculate the infrared behavior of the function $\gamma_p(k)$ and obtain

$$\gamma_p(0) - \gamma_p(k) \propto \begin{cases} k^2 & (p > d+2) \\ k^2 \ln(1/k) & (p = d+2) \\ k^{p-d} & (d < p < d+2). \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore, the integral in Eq. (3.2) is found to be convergent in the region $d < p < 2d$ and divergent for $p \leq 2d$. This means that the critical temperature is nonzero when $1 < p < 2$ in one dimension and when $2 < p < 4$ in two dimensions.

For the $S = 1/2$ case, we can calculate T_c from

$$\frac{J_0}{T_c} = \int_{1\text{BZ}} \frac{4(2\pi)^{-d} d^d k}{\gamma_p(0) - \gamma_p(k)} \simeq \int_{1\text{BZ}} \frac{4(2\pi)^{-d} d^d k}{A_p^{(d)} k^{p-d} + B_p^{(d)} k^2}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $A_p^{(d)} \equiv d^{d-p} \pi^d [\Gamma(p)]^{-d} / \sin[\pi(p-d)/2]$, $B_p^{(1)} \equiv \zeta(p)$, and $B_p^{(2)} \equiv \zeta((p/2)-1) \beta((p/2)-1)$.

Here, $\Gamma(p)$ and $\zeta(p)$ denote the gamma function and Riemann's zeta function, respectively, and $\beta(\alpha)$ is defined by $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} (2n-1)^{-\alpha}$. Numerical results for T_c are shown in Fig.1.

These results agree qualitatively with those from the MSW theory [14]. Note especially that in two dimensions the discontinuity in T_c is obtained at $p = 4$ and that the critical

temperature vanishes just at that point. In the one-dimensional case, on the other hand, there is no discontinuity in T_c . Using Eq. (3.2), we have for the critical temperature of the general- S model in one dimension near $p \sim 2$

$$\frac{T_c}{J_0} = \pi^2 \langle (S_l^z)^2 \rangle (2-p) = \frac{\pi^2}{3} S(S+1)(2-p). \quad (3.5)$$

We should remember that from the MSW theory the critical temperature in the one-dimensional case is found to be $\pi^2 J_0 S^2 (2-p)$ near $p \sim 2$ and that S^2 is the dominant term of $\langle (S_l^z)^2 \rangle$ under infinitesimally small H in the large- S limit. We can, then, consider that the result (3.5) also supports the previous result from MSW theory.

Next, let us investigate the spontaneous magnetization at temperatures below T_c in the region $d < p < 2d$. Henceforth, we concentrate on the $S = 1/2$ case. We have, then, from Eq. (2.6)

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k M \cosh \left(\frac{\beta \varepsilon(k)}{2} \right). \quad (3.6)$$

We use Eq. (3.6) in order to find numerically the behavior of the spontaneous magnetization M . Results are shown in Fig.2. As we expected, we can see that the smaller p is, the more stable the magnetization is and that the two-dimensional magnetization is more stable than the one-dimensional one. At low temperatures ($\beta \gg 1$), we obtain from Eq. (3.6)

$$M \simeq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\Gamma(d/p - d)}{d\pi(p - d)} \left(\frac{2T}{J_0 A_p^{(d)}} \right)^{\frac{d}{p-d}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Near the critical temperature, on the other hand, the spontaneous magnetization is very small. The right-hand side (r.h.s.) of Eq. (3.6) is then expanded with respect to M and $\epsilon (\equiv 1 - T/T_c)$; the dominant terms are found to be $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{M^2 J_0}{6 T_c N} \sum_k [\gamma_p(0) - \gamma_p(k)]$. In this expansion, the second term and the third one determine the critical behavior of the magnetization. The magnetization is then calculated to be $\sqrt{3 T_c \epsilon / 2 J_0 \zeta(p)}$ for the one-dimensional case and $\sqrt{3 T_c \epsilon / 4 J_0 \zeta(p/2) \beta(p/2)}$ for the two-dimensional case. The magnetization exponent is found to be $1/2$, which is independent of p . This value is the same as the result from a mean-field(MF) approximation. However, the MF theory leads to the FTPT even in the

case of the low-dimensional quantum Heisenberg ferromagnets with usual nearest-neighbor interactions by mistake, in spite of the Mermin-Wagner theorem about that model [19]. This point is a decisive difference between the GFM and the MF theory.

Now, we calculate the exponent with respect to the temperature dependence of the susceptibility near T_c . The susceptibility when the applied field is small is defined by $\chi \equiv M/H$. The summand in the r.h.s. of Eq. (3.6) is then rewritten as $\frac{M}{x}(1 + \frac{x^2}{3} + \dots)$, where x is defined by $\beta J_0 M[\frac{1}{\chi J_0} + \gamma_p(0) - \gamma_p(k)]/2$. Therefore, we obtain for the equation which determines the susceptibility at temperatures near and above T_c

$$\frac{\beta J_0}{4} = I_p\left(\frac{1}{\chi J_0}\right). \quad (3.8)$$

In the case when $p \geq 2d$, the FTPT does not exist, i.e. $T_c = 0$. For $p > 3$ in the one-dimensional case, the leading-order term on the r.h.s. of Eq. (3.8) is proportional to $\sqrt{\chi J_0}$. At low temperatures, hence, we find $\chi \propto \beta^2$. The Bethe-ansatz method leads to the same divergence of the susceptibility at low temperatures in the case of the model with nearest-neighbor interactions ($p \rightarrow \infty$) [20,21]; the present result includes it. In the same way, we find in the one-dimensional case

$$\chi \propto \begin{cases} (\beta J_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p-2}} & (2 < p < 3) \\ \exp(\beta J_0 \pi^2/4) & (p = 2), \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

and we obtain $\chi \propto \exp(\beta J_0 \pi \zeta(p/2 - 1) \beta(p/2 - 1))$ for $p > 4$ in two dimensions. These results for χ without the FTPT agree with results from the quadratic theory of the MSW approximation. We should note that in the case of the HS model ($p=1$, $d=1$, and $S=1/2$), the susceptibility is exponentially divergent at low temperatures, which is in qualitative agreement with its exact solution [22].

For $d < p < 2d$ where the FTPT exists, Eq. (3.2) for $S = 1/2$ and Eq. (3.8) give for the equation which χ at temperatures near T_c should satisfy

$$\frac{(\beta_c - \beta)J_0}{4} = I_p(0) - I_p\left(\frac{1}{\chi J_0}\right). \quad (3.10)$$

It should be noted here that the function $I_p(a)$ behaves for positive and small a as

$$I_p(0) - I_p(a) \propto \begin{cases} a^{\frac{2d-p}{p-d}} & (\frac{3}{2}d < p < 2d) \\ a \ln(1/a) & (p = \frac{3}{2}d) \\ a & (d < p < \frac{3}{2}d). \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

The susceptibility is then found to be

$$\chi \propto \begin{cases} (\beta_c - \beta)^{\frac{p-d}{p-2d}} & (\frac{3}{2}d < p < 2d) \\ \frac{1}{\beta_c - \beta} \ln(\beta_c - \beta) & (p = \frac{3}{2}d) \\ \frac{1}{\beta_c - \beta} & (d < p < \frac{3}{2}d). \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

In comparison with the magnetization exponent, which is independent of p and which is found to be the MF value, it is remarkable that the result (3.12) for the susceptibility exponent is dependent on p .

Finally, we consider the critical isotherm exponent δ' defined by $M \propto H^{1/\delta'}$ for small H and M just at the critical temperature. From Eq. (3.6), we obtain for small H and M at T_c

$$I_p(0) - I_p\left(\frac{H}{M}\right) = \frac{(\beta_c J_0)^2}{12N} M^2 \sum_k [\gamma_p(0) - \gamma_p(k)]. \quad (3.13)$$

Therefore, we find from this equation

$$M \propto \begin{cases} H^{\frac{2d-p}{p}} & (\frac{3}{2}d < p < 2d) \\ (H \ln(1/H))^{1/3} & (p = \frac{3}{2}d) \\ H^{1/3} & (d < p < \frac{3}{2}d). \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

So far, we have known three kinds of critical exponents in the region where there exists the FTPT, the magnetization exponent β' , the susceptibility exponent γ' , and the critical isotherm exponent δ' . It is remarkable that the scaling relation $\gamma' = \beta'(\delta' - 1)$ is *always* satisfied in spite that these exponents are dependent on p . The same behaviors of these exponents are known in the case of the spherical model [7] and the n -vector model [8,9] with LRI's, but this is the first report of these exponents in the case of the quantum Heisenberg ferromagnets with LRI's.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have studied the quantum Heisenberg ferromagnets with long-range interactions in low dimensions within the framework of the Green's function method. It is shown that even in low dimensions there exists the finite-temperature phase transition in the region $d < p < 2d$; the critical temperature in the region is estimated. Critical behaviors of this model are also calculated and found to be depend on p . The present results strongly support the previous results from the modified spin-wave theory, which are qualitatively reliable in comparison with the exact results in one dimension when $p = 2$ and when $p \rightarrow \infty$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas, “Molecular Magnetism” (Area No 228) and “Infinite Analysis” (Area No 231) from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

FIGURES

FIG. 1. The critical temperature estimated numerically. The one-dimensional case is shown by the solid line. The broken line denotes the result in two dimensions.

FIG. 2. Numerical results for spontaneous magnetization of the $S=1/2$ model. One-dimensional and two-dimensional results are shown in (a) and (b), respectively.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. D. M. Haldane: Phys.Rev.Lett. **60**, 635 (1988).
- [2] B. S. Shastry: Phys.Rev.Lett. **60**, 639 (1988).
- [3] F. J. Dyson: Commun.Math.Phys. **12**, 91 (1969); **12**, 212 (1969); **21**, 269 (1971).
- [4] B. Simon: J. Stat. Phys. **26**, 307 (1981)
- [5] J. Fröhlich and T. Spencer: Commun.Math.Phys. **84**, 87 (1982).
- [6] J. Fröhlich, R. Israel, E. H. Lieb and B. Simon: Commun.Math.Phys. **62**, 1 (1978).
- [7] G. S. Joyce: Phys.Rev. **146**, 349 (1966)
- [8] M. E. Fisher, S. Ma and B. G. Nickel: Phys.Rev.Lett. **29**, 917 (1972).
- [9] M. Suzuki: Phys.Lett. **42A**, 5 (1972).
- [10] S. Romano: Phys.Rev. **B40**, 4970 (1989); **B46**, 5420 (1992).
- [11] G. S. Joyce: J. Phys. **2**, 1531 (1969)
- [12] K. R. Ito: J.Stat.Phys. **29**, 747 (1982).
- [13] H. Nakano and M. Takahashi: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. **63**, 926 (1994).
- [14] H. Nakano and M. Takahashi: Phys.Rev. **B50**, 10331 (1994).
- [15] H. Nakano and M. Takahashi: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. **63**, 4256 (1994).
- [16] S. V. Tyablikov: Ukrain.Math.Zh. **11**, 287 (1959).
- [17] R. A. Tahir-Kheli and D. ter Haar: Phys.Rev. **127**, 88 (1962).
- [18] D. A. Yablonskiy: Phys.Rev. **B44**, 4467 (1991).
- [19] N. D. Mermin and H. Wagner: Phys.Rev.Lett. **17**, 1133 (1966).
- [20] M. Takahashi and M. Yamada: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. **54**, 2808 (1985).

- [21] M. Yamada and M. Takahashi: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. **55**, 2024 (1986).
- [22] F. D. M. Haldane: Phys.Rev.Lett. **66**, 1529 (1991).